



Compact binaries in astrophysical environments

Caio F. B. Macedo





Outline

- General motivations
- Physical setups
- Binaries in environments
- Final remarks

General motivations

Main targets for GW detectors



Time passes, and the shift tells





$$\frac{\dot{T}}{T} = \frac{3}{2} \frac{Energy \, Lost}{Orbital \, energy}$$

Accretion disks, plasmas environments and fuzzy dark matter

Barausse et. al PRD 89, 104059 (2014), Wayne Hu, Rennan Barkana, and Andrei Gruzinov Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 1158 (2000)



Environmental forces play an IMPORTANT role



Boson stars and common envelopes



Vicent et al. Class.Quant.Grav. 33 (2016) 10, 105015



Ivanova et al., AARev., 21, 59 (2013)

Newtonian elements



$$\ddot{\mathbf{r}} = f_1 \dot{\mathbf{r}} + f_2 \dot{\mathbf{R}} + f_3 \mathbf{r}$$
$$\ddot{\mathbf{R}} = f_4 \dot{\mathbf{r}} + f_5 \dot{\mathbf{R}} + f_6 \mathbf{r}$$

$$\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{d},i} = -G^2 m_i^2 \rho I_\mathrm{d}(v_i) \dot{\mathbf{r}}_i$$

$$\begin{split} f_1 &= -\frac{G^2 M q \rho (I_{a1} + I_{a2} + I_{d1} + I_{d2})}{(q+1)^2}, \\ f_2 &= \frac{G^2 M \rho [I_{a1} + I_{d1} - q(I_{a2} + I_{d2})]}{q+1}, \\ f_3 &= GM \left\{ \frac{G^3 M q \rho^2 (I_{a1} - qI_{a2}) [I_{a1} + I_{d1} - q(I_{a2} + I_{d2})]}{(q+1)^4} - \frac{1}{r^3} \right\}, \\ f_4 &= \frac{G^2 M q \rho [q(I_{a2} - I_{d2}) - I_{a1} + I_{d1}]}{(q+1)^3}, \\ f_5 &= -\frac{G^2 M \rho \left[q^2 (I_{a2} + I_{d2}) + I_{a1} + I_{d1}\right]}{(q+1)^2}, \\ f_6 &= -\frac{G^4 M^2 q \rho^2 (I_{a1} - qI_{a2}) \left[q^2 (I_{a2} + I_{d2}) + 2q(I_{a1} + I_{a2}) + I_{a1} + I_{d1}\right]}{(q+1)^5}. \end{split}$$

Newtonian elements: Dynamical friction

(Chandrasekhar, APJ (1943)), (Ostriker, astro-ph/9810324 (1998))



Newtonian elements: Dynamical friction in other scenarios

Kim&Kim, 0705.0084 (2007); Kim et al. 0804.2010 (2018); Vicente et. al 1905.06353 (2019) "These are my principles. If you don't like them, I have others".



GR elements: Dynamical friction and scattering amplitudes

Traykova et al. Phys. Rev. D 104, 103014 (2021), Vicente and Cardoso. Phys. Rev. D 105 8, 083008 (2022), Traykova et al., Phys. Rev. D 108 12, L121502 (2023)

$$\dot{E}_{\rm BH} = \frac{\pi\hbar\omega n}{\mu k_{\infty}} \sum_{\ell,m} (2\ell+1) \frac{(\ell-m)!}{(\ell+m)!} (\operatorname{Ps}_{\ell}^{m})^{2} \left(1 - \left|\frac{R}{I}\right|^{2}\right).$$

$$P_{S}^{i}(t') = \int_{S_{t'}} dV_{3} T^{\alpha i} N_{\alpha}.$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$\mathbf{F}' = -\frac{4\pi M^{2} \rho \boldsymbol{v}}{v^{3}} \log\left(\sqrt{1 + \frac{b_{\max}^{2}}{(M/v^{2})^{2}}}\right)$$



recovered

Physical setups Newtonian(-ish) elements: Accretion



E.g. Bondi-Hoyle-Littleton

$$\dot{m}_i = 4\pi G^2 \rho \frac{m_i^2}{(v_i^2 + c_s^2)^{3/2}}$$

(Post-)Newtonian elements: quadru and octupole emissions

For gravitational waves, we have

$$\begin{split} \langle \dot{E} \rangle &= -\frac{32}{5} \frac{G^4 m_1^2 m_2^2 M}{a^5 (1-e^2)^{7/2}} \left(1 + \frac{73}{24} e^2 + \frac{37}{96} e^4 \right) \\ \langle \dot{L} \rangle &= -\frac{32}{5} \frac{G^{7/2} m_1^2 m_2^2 M^{1/2}}{a^{7/2} (1-e^2)^2} \left(1 + \frac{7}{8} e^2 \right) \,. \end{split}$$



$$\frac{da}{dt} = -\frac{64}{5} \frac{G^3 \mu M^2}{c^5 a^3 (1 - e^2)^{\frac{7}{2}}} \left(1 + \frac{73}{24} e^2 + \frac{37}{96} e^4 \right), \quad \downarrow$$
$$\frac{de}{dt} = -\frac{304}{15} \frac{G^3 \mu M^2}{c^5 a^4 (1 - e^2)^{\frac{5}{2}}} \left(1 + \frac{121}{304} e^2 \right). \quad \downarrow$$

Emission of gravitational waves *circularizes* orbits.

Radiation: scalar fields, black holes and particles

Macedo et al. 2013, Duque et al. 2023

$$G_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi T_{\mu\nu}, \qquad \Box_g \Phi = \frac{\partial V}{\partial \Phi^*}$$
$$g_{\mu\nu} \approx \hat{g}_{\mu\nu} + q \,\delta g_{\mu\nu}, \qquad \Phi \approx \hat{\Phi} + q \,\delta \Phi$$



Binaries in environments

Boost in the center of mass



V ~ 350km/s

Binaries could escape galaxies!

$$T_{\text{max}} = 6 \left(\frac{M_{\odot}}{M}\right)^3 \left(\frac{10^{-6}\rho_{\text{water}}}{\rho}\right)^2 \text{ years}$$

Orbital dynamics

Ferreira et al.



A time dependent background idynamics introduces richer orbital dynamics

Eccentricity evolution and dynamical friction

Cardoso, CFBM, Vicente 2010.1515 (2021)) (Roedig&Sesana 1111.3742 (2012))

Considering dominant terms

Therefore, the environment favors eccentric motion! Considering both GW and the environment

$$\frac{da}{de} = \frac{6a\left(5c^5k\rho\sqrt{GMa^{11}} + 32G^3M^4\right)}{e\left(304G^3M^4 - 45c^5k\rho\sqrt{GMa^{11}}\right)} \longrightarrow \frac{Critical}{distance}$$

Eccentricity evolution and dynamical friction

Cardoso, CFBM, Vicente 2010.1515 (2021)) (Roedig&Sesana 1111.3742 (2012))

$$\frac{a_{c}}{\left(\frac{100GM_{\odot}}{c^{2}}\right)} = 3 \times 10^{4} \, k^{-2/11} \left(\frac{M}{100M_{\odot}}\right)^{7/11} \left(\frac{\rho_{10}}{\rho}\right)^{2/11} \qquad \rho_{10} = 10^{-10} \mathrm{g \, cm^{-3}}$$

Mode excitation and dephasing

Macedo et al. Phys.Rev.D 88 6, 064046 (2013) & Astrophys.J. 774 (2013).



EMRI: BH surrounded by (scalar) dark matter

Duque et al. arXiv:2312.06767 (2023)



$$\frac{\dot{T}}{T} = \frac{3}{2} \frac{Energy \ Lost}{Orbital \ energy}.$$

For Sgr A* like scenarios

 $\delta_{\rm cyc} \sim \left(\frac{t_{\rm obs}}{t_{\Phi}}\right) \#_{\rm cyc} \gtrsim 200 \text{ cycles}$

EMRI: BH surrounded by a cloud

Duque et al. arXiv:2312.06767 (2023). Brito, Shah Phys.Rev.D 108 8, 084019 (2023)



Final remarks

- Binaries in environments can be remarkably different.
- Dynamical friction is important in eccentricity and CM boosts.
- Period shifts can be a discriminator.
- Axisymetric time-dependent environment introduces resonances.

Thank you!



CASA DE CULTURA -FONTE DO CARANÃ SALINÓPOLIS/PA - BRAZIL, 02-06 DECEMBER 2024



